

RESEARCH ISSUE AND SIGNIFICANCE

Like many other industries the tourism industry integrates robotics in almost every step of the holiday distribution chain (Papathanassis, 2017). As the tourism industry is very service intensive, the question of whether or not tourists are willing to interact with robots while being on holiday, is relevant for key players, such as cruise companies. Knowing the attitudes of passengers towards robots and the factors affecting their attitude expands the knowledge of cruise companies about the willingness of their target group to interact with such technologies. The use of robots on cruise ships for example would also have implications on job assignments and skills needed by employees. Due to the continuing growth of the cruise sector and the novelty of installing robots on cruise ships, research lacks understanding on how to make use of robots in cruise tourism (CLIA, 2017 & Papathanassis).

RESEARCH QUESTION

Which variables influence the passengers' acceptance of service robots on cruise ships?

CURRENT SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH STATUS

So far, to the best of my knowledge, robotics as a topic has not been issued in tourism journals. Therefore, the current scientific research status of literature refers to research studying the acceptance factors of service and social robots in general. According to De Graaf et al (2015) the use of a robot depends on a person's personal innovativeness as well as the perceived enjoyment while interacting. Moreover, human like embodiment and human like behaviour are influencing factors (De Graaf et al., 2015). Papathanassis (2017) further underlines the importance of entertainment. The verbal and non-verbal communication of a robot influences the attitude towards using a robot (Tampus et al, 2018). Klamer (2010) emphasises the importance of a natural, fluid and familiar communication style. The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) by Davis (1985) suggests variables such as design features, perceived usefulness and ease of use.

METHODOLOGY

To answer the research question, the following steps could be undertaken: Firstly, a systematic literature review should be performed in order to identify influencing variables in relation to the acceptance of service and social robots. Secondly, the TAM should be modified with the influencing variables found in the literature review. The modified model is the basis for the hypothesis development. To test the influence of the identified variables and thus the hypothesis, a quantitative survey method within the cruise tourism sector should be conducted.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

CLIA (2017): 2018 Cruise industry outlook. December 2017 [online]. Available at: <http://cruising.org/docs/default-source/research/clia-2018-state-of-the-industry.pdf?sfvrsn=2> [Accessed 24.03.2018].

Davis, F. (1985): A technology acceptance model for empirically testing new end-user information systems: theory and results. Massachusetts Institute of Technology [online]. Available at: https://scholar.google.de/scholar?q=davis+1985+technology+acceptance+model&hl=de&as_sdt=0&as_vis=1&oi=scholart [Accessed 08.07.2018].

De Graaf, M. & Allouch, S. & Klamer, T. (2015): Sharing a life with Harvey: Exploring the acceptance of and relationship-building with a social robot. In: *Computers in Human Behaviour*, 43, pp. 1-14 [online]. Available at: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0747563214005536> [Accessed 10.04.2018].

Klamer et al., (2010): Adventures of Harvey: Use, acceptance of and relationship building with a social robot in a domestic environment. In: *Proceedings of the 3rd international conference on human robot personal relationships*, 59, Springer pp. 74-82 [online]. Available at: https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/978-3-642-19385-9_10.pdf [Accessed 14.04.2018].

Papathanassis, A. (2017): R-Tourism: Introducing the Potential Impact of Robotics and Service Automation in Tourism. In: *"Ovidius" University Annals, Economic Sciences Series*, XVII (1) [online]. Available at: <http://stec.univ-ovidius.ro/html/anale/RO/2017/Section-III/16.pdf> [Accessed 13.03.2018].

Papathanassis, A. & Beckmann, I. (2011): Assessing the 'Poverty of cruise theory' hypothesis. In: *Annals of Tourism Research*, 38 (1) pp. 153-174 [online]. Available at: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0160738310001003?via%3Dihub> [Accessed 17.05.2018].

Tapus, A. et al. (2018): Perceiving the person and their interactions with the others for social robotics – A review. In: *Pattern Recognition Letters* [online]. Available at: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167865518300771> [Accessed 17.04.2018].